1		
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10		
	WILLIAM G. SMITH,	
11	Plaintiff, v.	No. C11-5401 RBL/KLS
12 13	RODULF TRIVENO, RANDY PIERCE,	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO COMPEL
14	CATHERINE BAUM, GARY FLETCHER, WILLIAM ROLLINS, PATRICK GLEBE,	
15	DAN VAN OGLE, KERRI McTARSNEY, DENNIS DAHNE, TAMMY NIKULA,	
16	DANO GORTON, KATHY RENINGER, JOSEPH LOPIN, ELDON VAIL, DAN	
17	PACHOLKE, SEAN MURPHY, STEVE	
18	HAMMOND, and DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,	
19	Defendants.	
20	Before the Court is Plaintiff's "Motion for An Order Compelling Discovery." ECF No.	
21		
22	28. Within this document, Plaintiff requests an Order compelling Defendants to answer the	
23	interrogatories and request for production of documents that he sent to them on June 8, 2011. <i>Id.</i>	
24	DISCUSSION	
25	Plaintiff must confer with opposing counsel in a good faith attempt to resolve any	
26	discovery dispute. If the attempt to confer is unsuccessful, Plaintiff may file a motion to compel,	
	ORDER - 1	

which shall include a certification that he, in good faith, conferred or attempted to confer with the person or party failing to make the discovery in an effort to secure the information or material without court intervention in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(2)(B).

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

- (1) Plaintiff's Motion (ECF No. 28) is **DENIED**.
- (2) The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff and counsel for Defendants.

DATED this <u>12th</u> day of September, 2011.

Karen L. Strombom

United States Magistrate Judge